

Nov. 16, 2009 (#452)

## Alan Watt "Cutting Through The Matrix" LIVE on RBN:

Poem Copyright Alan Watt Nov. 16, 2009:

### **Redistribution of Wealth, Ban Ki-Moon Bad for Health:**

**"Doling Out Bonuses by Gangster-Banksters,  
Blithely Relayed by Press as though Childish Pranksters,  
As First-World Countries Lose Homes, Lose Health,  
U.N. Tells Governments to Give Up Their Wealth,  
As People Wake Up they are Studying and Finding  
Their Rights have been Sold into Treaties All Binding  
By Elected Officials Sworn to Defend the Nation,  
Now the U.N. is Strutting Big-Head-Ego-Inflation,  
Left-Right Political Parties Not Worth a Toss,  
All Hail Ban Ki-Moon, World U.N. Soviet's New Boss"**

© Alan Watt Nov. 16, 2009

**Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Nov. 16, 2009  
(Exempting Music, Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)**

---

[cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.com)

alternate sites:

[cuttingthroughthematrix.net](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.net) , [.us](http://.us) , [.ca](http://.ca)

[alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca](http://alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca)

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European  
languages for print up:

[alanwattsentientsentinel.eu](http://alanwattsentientsentinel.eu)

mirror site:

[cuttingthrough.jenkness.com](http://cuttingthrough.jenkness.com)

---

## **Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:**

**Canada and America:** PayPal, Cash, personal checks &  
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal  
money orders  
(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green  
internal one.)

**Outside the Americas:** PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram  
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:  
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

**PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -**  
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

**Click the link below for your location (ordering info):**

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

---

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is 'Cutting Through the Matrix' on the 16<sup>th</sup>  
November 2009.

I always start off the show by suggesting to new comers to look into 'Cutting  
Through the Matrix.com' website as my main site and scroll down and you'll see

all the other sites I have up there because sometimes the main sites go down and if you bookmark these other sites you can always pull up the latest shows and download them. So remember that there's 'Cuttingthrough.jenknness.com'. There's 'Cuttingthroughthetmatrix.net' '.us' '.ca'. There's 'Alanwattcuttingthroughthetmatrix.ca' and there's 'alanwattsentientsentinel.eu'.

The last one is the European site and has all the same audios as the rest but it has the addition of transcripts of a lot of the talks I've given which you can download for print up and they're in the various languages of the world and Europe, mainly Europe.

And remember too that you bring me to you, you the listeners. I'm not backed by anybody, I'm not selling products. The advertisers on this show pay directly to RBN radio station for the airtime. They pay for the staff. They pay for the equipment at RBN and the bills and all the rest of it. So it's up to you the listeners to keep me going and you can do so by buying the few things I have for sale on the website 'Cuttingthroughthetmatrix.com' and you can also donate as well. There's Paypal buttons there. In the US and Canada personal cheque is good. The US can also use International Postal Money Order from the post office if you don't have a bank account and as I say there's Paypal. Outside the Americas, again Paypal or Western Union or Moneygram or cash. Some people just send straight cash to cut out the little podgy guy with the triple chins and the podgy fingers and the starched shirt and the pot belly, who always succeeds in every generation no matter how many depressions he causes.

So that's what you can do to keep me going by donating or buying that which I have for sale. It's important that you do because as I say I'm not backed by any group. I'm not promoting products and that way too I've got leeway to say what I want to say about various things, various areas without being hamstrung by giving allegiance to some sponsor.

We are really, as I say, going into a really bad time. It's a bad time for the people but it's a good time for those that plan the world and, as I say, the world is always planned in advance. Every stage of the world is planned and it has been for an awful long time, more so really since the big government began to form during the industrial revolution and layers of bureaucracy were added over many years especially through major wars.

They love major wars because government takes over everything, pretty well even agriculture, farming and the distribution of food and goods. Therefore they took over so much during World War 2, that was the big one, and since then we've been run by technocrats and bureaucratic specialists who supposedly guide all their elected people that we think we elect and tell them what to do for the best. That's really what's been happening and it's very similar if you would compare this to the Soviet model, very, very similar indeed. It's not by accident either because there were two main types (ways) of bringing in the Socialist system. One was direct action and overthrow of existing governments. That was revolution and the other way was through the Fabian technique and interestingly enough, the same bankers who funded the Soviet revolution or Bolshevik revolution in Russia are the same bankers who founded the Milner Group for the Royal Institute of International Affairs and who fund the Fabians.

Back for more after this break.

I'm Alan Watt, we're cutting through the matrix, talking about how the world's

always planned in advance.

And it's very hard for people to believe because we're born into a system, you're hammered with daily news once you're old enough to understand the words and you think the daily news is giving you the latest update on things that are just transpiring at the moment.

And how politicians get together to hammer out solutions to immediate problems that just cropped up out of the blue and we're given that at a very early age, that whole idea. Even the way they give us history in schools helps augment that and solidify the idea that we're on the cutting edge and life is evolving willy nilly by itself; all happenstance, day by day.

Nothing could be further from the truth and you have to go into the older books to find out who started up the League of Nations and what was its purpose. You can go much further back than that of course but that was the culmination of a lot of planning in the previous century. Its ideals were really the ideals of total social control worldwide over every aspect of human nature right down to breeding; who could breed; who would not breed and that carried on into its phoenix-like rebirth at the United Nations.

It's no accident that we're on the path we're on and all through the 20<sup>th</sup> century agreements were signed, treaties they call them, which are legally binding. That doesn't mean anything really because if you really wanted rid of something that was going to put you into slavery, you just tear it up and throw it out and burn it or something. But no, they go through this nonsense that you cannot go back, you can't renege on a treaty. It's rather odd that even the founding fathers of the US put it into the constitution that treaties were legally binding. I think, and it's been debated that they were referring to the treaties between the different states of the United States. Now they put it down to all treaties, which is really crazy because if something's not working in the favour of the people then surely it can be torn up. It should be torn up.

They actually say that they make them so incredibly complex that to undo them, again legally, you'd have to take batteries of lawyers, years and years, to try and unravel them all. It's almost like trying to; it's like these movies where you see a bomb at the end and they go in there and they've got all these wires and they've got to clip them one by one until they get the last one clipped before it goes off. That's the impression they try and give you but it's all nonsense because really if something's against you, it's detrimental to the public, it should be just torn up and used to heat the house or something like that.

Treaties are amazing things and everyone's been bound together by treaties all through the 20<sup>th</sup> century. They brought in the European Union through treaties. Karl Marx suggested that's the way they would go in the eighteen hundreds and Karl Marx remember was hailed as the founder of the communistic idea and the communistic ideal who put it into what they call a scientific format, which they really believe in at the top, even though we've seen through the eras like Stalin; he could interpret it any way he wanted to because he was technically like God. He was the first cult of the personality although they tried it with Lenin and that's what they do.

They give you a cult of personality and the public go back to sleep thinking Big Brother's looking after them only to wake up in slavery. That's really how it happens. The same thing's happening in the US right now. It'll be a while before they wake up and realise they've nothing left. It's interesting to see how even the founding fathers knew that unless you were taking care of your own money, that means the government takes care of it, not private banks, they said the private banks would put all the children to come into slavery through debt and that's

exactly what has happened across the whole supposedly free world. That's what happened.

They knew that because it was already happening in Britain. Britain was already in massive debt because as soon as the Rothschilds came in, even before that when they set up the Bank of England, they knew they could borrow then freely from the bankers and simply tax it back from the public, to pay the bankers. Massive accumulation of debt. It's been that way ever since.

We've all heard about Goldman Sachs and the incredible cons and scams they've been up to and all the bailouts and all the rest of it and how they led this current depression. It's not a recession, it's a depression, when they're still losing across the US and other countries as well, homes by the hundreds and hundreds per day; it's hardly mentioned in the media today. Once in a blue moon you'll see it if you look for it. These characters in Goldman Sachs always seem to lead the charge, the great depression too, they had a lot to do with it and the present one as well and of course they get all the massive bailouts for all the greedy speculations that they get into.

This article here is from November 8<sup>th</sup> 2009 and it's from Reuters and it says:

*"The chief executive of Goldman Sachs, which has attracted widespread media attention over the size of its staff bonuses, believes banks serve a social purpose and are doing 'God's work'."*

(A: Now that's an odd odd statement to make isn't it? He's not being flippant or sarcastic here, any more than Armand Hammer was sarcastic when he put the sign over his bed in his bedroom that said 'he who hath the gold maketh the rules'. It wasn't just in your face, there's something else behind all that stuff, especially in this day and age where most people at the top are utter, you know they're kind of atheistic, humanist in a sense, but he said they're doing 'God's work'. It's no different either from the little statement old man Rockefeller made when he said that 'competition is a sin'. They weren't being sarcastic. They were not being sarcastic. They were telling you something about the religion they believe in because they're not atheistic. So here you have another one here; the banks are doing 'God's work'. I guess in that case God must like to hammer us and create massive poverty but that's ok with them, so who is this 'God' eh?)

*"In an interview with London's Sunday Times newspaper, Lloyd Blankfein also said he believed big profits and bonuses at banks were a sign that the world economy was recovering."*

(A: Well it depends on how you define 'economy' doesn't it? Because we've been investing abroad since the GATT treaty was signed. Everything's in China now right? He says, here's his PR.)

*"We help companies to grow by helping them to raise capital. Companies that grow create wealth. This, in turn, allows people to have jobs that create more growth and more wealth. We have a social purpose," he told the paper."*

(A: But he doesn't say in which country, you see. From his point of view, sure, if it makes more jobs in China then that's true. It says:)

*"The dominant Wall Street bank posted third-quarter earnings"*

(A: This is just after they have us all paying for the next few generations for this bailout you know. They posted third quarter earnings:)

*"of \$3 billion and plans to hand out more than \$20 billion in year-end bonuses."*

(A: to its top staff. 20 billion dollars. Boy oh boy.)

*"Blankfein told the Sunday Times that the bank's compensation practices correlated with long-term performance. Others made no money and still paid large bonuses. Some are not around anymore. I wonder why?" he said "He added that he understood, however, that people were angry with bankers' actions: I know I could slit my wrists and people would cheer" he said.*

Well, I'd probably say that's probably true. Again he's going along with a different agenda here, a different belief system, obviously. What can you do? What can you do because everything is made by deals at the top by governments and banks, and governments as you well know, can never get enough taxes in because they've always got new plans to go so they always go and borrow from the bankers. Then they find new ways to get the taxes paid off along the way or they just add it to their tab. That's why they have never ending tabs.

It's funny to see that I think Britain, at least it was two to three years ago in the newspapers, Britain had just finished paying its debt off for World War I. They still got World War II and Korea and other ones to go since then, so subsequent generations are put down to pay off the debt.

Who has the right to put people into slavery? Is that what governments are for? I don't think so. I don't think so and it's true what Jefferson said, Thomas Jefferson, he said that

*"A generation that's born into paying off a previous generation's existing debt are therefore defacto slaves."*

And he was all for every generation simply cancelling the previous generation's debts. That would have worked, would have been a great idea. What's wrong with it today? What's wrong is we've never had democracy. That's what's wrong with it. There are cliques at the top who we know nothing about, nothing at all about. Even Benjamin Disraeli said the same thing,

*"Those in power, those who control governments and power of a country are vastly different from the ones that the public imagine."*

It's not the ones that the people see. That's how it really is and any power structure will tend always to take care of itself, the existing power structure. Everyone's heard of the 'Continuity of Government' through thick and thin regardless of what happens and how they prepare for every possible catastrophe or disaster that could happen to make sure that they can continue. It's for the power elite to continue because they don't intend to give up that power structure for anyone, especially not for the people.

I'll be back with more after this break.

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and this is 'Cutting Through the Matrix'.

We're run by secretive societies. If you know the names of the groups then technically they can say that they're not a secret society but they're secretive. It's like 'Freemasonry' where they say they're not a secret society, they're a society with secrets and that's really how everything is today whether it's governments or whatever. Everything is done really behind closed doors, not open for debate. But here we have from the EU Observer, that's the Economic Union Observer for the whole of Europe. And I think this is from Friday, but I'll put the links up on my site at the end of the show for all these topics I bring up. It says here;

*"Top candidate debates EU tax at elite dinner"*

It says;

*"Belgian Prime Minister Herman Van Rompuy, a top candidate for the new European Union president job, laid out his views on future EU financing at a dinner of the secretive Bilderberg group last week."*

(A: Now it's amazing too that it even gets into the press now. It shows you of how sort of callous they are, it's 'well, so what?' but they don't tell you all the rest of the stuff right. Now here's mainstream putting their little things in.)

*"The event took place at Val Duchesse, a former priory on the outskirts of Brussels, on Thursday (12 November), with guests including Belgian industrialist and Bilderberg chairman Etienne Davignon, former US secretary of state Henry Kissinger"*

(A: He's at everything this guy.)

*"and luminaries from the worlds of international politics and business, according to Belgian broadsheet De Tijd."*

(A: It says;)

*"Mr Van Rompuy: The leak could harm his chances of a top EU appointment."*

(A: As he claims, which is nonsense because I think Tony Blair's also in the running. Tony Blair is an utter Fabian Socialist, complete dyed in the wool but I'm sure they all are at the top.)

*"The Belgian leader is reported to have said in a speech that: "New resources will be necessary for the"*

(A: Listen, listen to this;)

*"New resources will be necessary for the financing of the welfare state."*

(A: The welfare state. Is that the future of Europe or the future of the so-called first world countries?)

*"New resources will be necessary for the financing of the welfare state."*

(A: Is it the world welfare state? It says)

*"Green tax instruments are a possibility,"*

(A: That's a definite will be. It's a must, must be. He says)

*"but they are ambiguous: This type of tax will eventually be extinguished. But the possibilities of financial levies at European level must be seriously examined and for the first time the large countries in the union are open to that."*

*Mr Van Rompuy's official spokesman later told the Belga news agency that: "The Prime Minister ... indicated that it is necessary to carry on thinking about structural financing at the European level."*

*The leak to De Tijd, coming just days before the EU aims to choose its first permanent president, could damage Mr Van Rompuy's chances.*

*Proposals about imposing fees on environmentally-damaging behaviour or skimming small levies off financial transactions have been mooted before. But the suggestion that the new EU president might interfere in national taxation policy is anathema to anti-federalists in EU countries such as the UK or Denmark.*

*Mr Van Rompuy's participation at the Bilderberg dinner will also give ammunition to critics of the EU top job selection process, which takes place via confidential consultations between EU leaders and informal social events.*

*The Bilderberg group is an elite club of aristocrats, politicians and businessmen dating back to 1954, which likes to meet away from the public eye and which is widely disliked by pro-transparency campaigners."*

That's really how we're run. It's just simply clubs run by the elite, it's always really been that way. In fact London still has its big, posh clubs around the banking area, or in the banking area, and if you're anybody at all you'd be a member of them, you see? And you're thoroughly vetted to become a member, especially your family lineage and all of that kind of stuff. That's where the top people from MI5 and 6 go and the top bankers go and everyone else goes. Things are really discussed in the clubs. That's how the world is run.

It's interesting too when we look back at how the French revolution was set up and how they set up what they called 'coffee houses' all over the place where they literally had Masonic groups meeting, quite openly at that time, and they were called 'clubs' you know. And club has a double meaning remember. You can have a club where you join and you have a club which is also a weapon and that's why it's called a club in fact. And if you look at the club in the card deck you have a trinity there really because that's part of their religion as well. So, it's interesting that they meet in clubs, and clubs really run the show, where they can meet in secrecy knowing that anyone present has to be a member and no one else could possibly get in. That's how it really is run, the world, private deals and everything else is really a show for the general public, a complete show for the public.

We really have no democracy, we never had democracy and when you look at the techniques that are used, they are the Soviet techniques where thousands of foundations fund self-appointed, non-governmental organisations that are not really self-appointed at all. The leaders are picked by the foundations themselves and often they're told to start up the NGO that appears to be 'grass roots' and independent and they protest government for the very things the foundations want. The bankers own the foundations. That's how it's run. That's how the world is run.

Back with more after this break.

Hi folks, I'm Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through the Matrix.

Up 'til recently, people in the media, the mainstream, would just scoff because that's their job, to try and tell you that if you think for yourself you've got a problem, if you're not believing them. They used to laugh at 'conspiracy theories' and all the rest of it. Now they give you these stories now in the open with a bit of sarcasm because they know darn well that whatever they tell you will make no difference now because it's technically too late you see? So you get these little 'tongue in cheek' stories.

This is the Washington Post and it was November 11<sup>th</sup> and it says;

*"One World Government? Globe may not be big enough."* By Dana Millbank. It says;

*"The New World Order came into being at 4:25 Tuesday afternoon.*

*It arrived at the Capitol, until that moment the seat of American government, in the form of the stooped and bespectacled figure of Ban Ki-moon, who as U.N. secretary general is the de facto leader of what conspiracy theorists call the One World Government."*

(A: There's a slap at 'conspiracy theorists'. At the same time the rest of the story unfolds so they might have been right, you know?)

*"One floor beneath the Senate chamber, Ban, a South Korean national, took his place behind a lectern bearing the Senate seal and spelled out his demands."*

(A: And that's what they were, they were demands. He says;)

*"I would certainly expect the Senate to take the necessary action; that's what I have encouraged the senators," he told reporters as a trio of lawmakers stood at his side.*

(A: It's true they're surrounded by lawyers)

*He added an admonition for the chamber to deliver "as soon as possible."*

(A: Doesn't sound like a friendly visit, does it?)

*The One World Government has specific requirements, Ban added, namely a*



"legally binding"

(A: Here you go with your legally binding treaties)

*commitment to "25 to 40 percent greenhouse gas reduction . . . as recommended by the IPCC,*

(A: That's the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change made up by garbage collectors and all the rest of the socialist commies that are in there. It says;)

*Uh-oh. A U.N. official standing in the Capitol telling U.S. lawmakers what binding commitments intergovernmental authorities expect from them?*

*Glenn Beck was going to burst a blood vessel.*

*But the man who orchestrated this putsch by the New World Order, Senate Foreign Relations Chairman John Kerry (D-Switzerland), did not appear concerned by the imagery. He called the secretary general.*

(A: This is kind of disgusting, isn't it? This is in the US senate and here you have John Kerry at the senate foreign relations committee calling him 'Your Excellency'. Your Excellency. It says;)

*Sen. Richard Lugar of Indiana was equally deferential as he spoke of "the privilege of this distinguished visitor."*

*And Sen. Joe Lieberman hailed Ban*

(A: This is very interesting)

*for "the accelerated leadership role" that the United Nations has taken.*

(A: 'Accelerated leadership role'. You see, the U.N. was designed to come up right now into its proper status as basically the kind of 'nucleus' for the World Government. It says;)

*"Your vision,*

(A: This is what Lieberman says;)

*Your vision that in Copenhagen there can be a politically binding agreement*

(A: And here's the very important part.)

*that will lead to a legally binding agreement to follow*

(A: To follow)

*. . . is a very reasonable, sensible and hopeful course."*

And that's how they're playing it out right now because they know that the public are very wary and sceptical and don't want any binding agreements at all to do with paying extra taxes, the redistribution of wealth, as in a plank of the communist manifesto, which this treaty has in it, the supposed 'third world'. It

won't get to the third world anyway. That's got nothing to do with it, but they know this so what they're going to say is, they're fluffing it right now in the media, by saying that 'oh Obama's going to cautiously go along and maybe agree to something.' Well, once they get it on the table, you see, and it's legally signed then it comes up for the next, where they're going to agree to legally put through what's going to be put on the next one. That's what they're going to agree to, to put through what's on the next one, that's how they're getting round this to put people back to sleep. That's what it is, listen to his words again.

*"Your vision that in Copenhagen there can be a politically binding agreement that will lead to a legally binding agreement to follow is a very reasonable, sensible and hopeful course."*

(A: That's how they're playing this)

*"Somewhere in Manhattan, Sean Hannity was tearing up his script for the night's broadcast."*

*Kerry invited Ban to lecture the Foreign Relations Committee, but it's not clear what the chairman hoped to gain from the photos of him standing with Ban in the Capitol's Brumidi Corridors. Indeed, it seemed quite possible that a U.N. endorsement of Kerry's climate efforts would embolden its foes, who like the world body even less than they like cap-and-trade. In the pantheon of conspiracy theories, the United Nations is right up there with the Illuminati, the Trilateral Commission, the Federal Reserve and the Council on Foreign Relations -- which, as it happens, Kerry addressed a couple of weeks ago."*

So there's your little, again little, you know, tongue out, they give you the tongue out there in the face at the end of the story, because it's all true you see.

Here's somebody from the United Nations demanding, demanding, you see. Is that what your Government's for? To take demands from this strange corporate body that is the United Nations, this private organisation? And once this thing is put down, yep, the folk will go back to sleep, saying, "well there was nothing terribly binding in this" but what is binding is the promise they will sign the next one, whatever is in it. That's really what it's about. That's why, I think it was Lord Monckton said, in his speech about this particular treaty, at the end of his talk he gave in the US, he said "thanks for being the country leading the free world as you once did and for being the country you once were". That's basically it because it's over, all according to plan though.

It's interesting too this great New World Order that demands that everybody be standardised by coercion, threats or utter force, or pummelled into oblivion, or else basically, are doing it, and have been doing it for years, using all types of odd weapons and nasty stuff to dump on people.

Everywhere that NATO, that's the United Nations military arm basically, everywhere they've been they've left depleted uranium. In every country that they've pummelled and pummelled and pummelled including Bosnia and different places, we always get reports of sudden birth defects. We had the same thing with the first Gulf War and it's going into this one. And what the depleted uranium is supposedly; it's not extinguished uranium it's depleted. It gets sort of reactivated when they fire this stuff. It coats the shells of artillery and even some of the

smaller weapons too, to help it pierce armour. And what happens when they hit tanks with it or buildings it tends to give incredible, incredible heat and will also go through anything. It leaves a cloud of this kind of powder residue behind it and you have depleted uranium which is really activated all over the place.

In Gulf War I at the end of it they talked to some American troops who were sent out on details to bring in tanks and personnel vehicles that were damaged and hit by these particular shells to clear the battlefields and one of them spoke about the fact that every one they'd gone to was covered in this very fine white powder. Their job was to clean it all up and they weren't told what it was and every one of those guys is probably dead now by cancer. They didn't have cancer at the time and again you had the governments trying to cover it all up because all the troops are expendable, they just don't know that, but they are expendable and it's happening again.

Here's the [guardian.co.uk](http://guardian.co.uk)

*Huge rise in birth defects in Falluja, Iraq.*

*Iraqi former battle zone sees abnormal clusters of infant tumours and deformities.*

(A: It's an exact replica of the Bosnia thing. It says that)

*Doctors in Iraq's war-ravaged enclave of Falluja are dealing with up to 15 times as many chronic deformities in infants, and a spike in early life cancers that may be linked to toxic materials left over from the fighting.*

*The extraordinary rise in birth defects has crystallised over recent months as specialists working in Falluja's over-stretched health system have started compiling detailed clinical records of all babies born.*

*Neurologists and obstetricians in the city interviewed by the Guardian say the rise in birth defects - which include a baby born with two heads, babies with multiple tumours, and others with nervous system problems - are unprecedented and at present unexplainable.*

(A: This is nonsense, 'unexplainable'. They know what's caused it since they've exposed it before and when they've exposed the causes. This is what they find, they found when they bombed Nagasaki and Hiroshima in Japan afterwards, even right to the present time there are still babies born, because of the high radioactivity in the area, born with these problems.)

*A group of Iraqi and British officials, including the former Iraqi minister for women's affairs, Dr Nawal Majeed a-Sammarai, and the British doctors David Halpin and Chris Burns-Cox, have petitioned the UN*

(A: Ha, the UN)

*general assembly to ask that an independent committee*

A: Good luck)

*fully investigate the defects and help clean up toxic materials left over decades of war - including the six years since Saddam Hussein was ousted.*

*"We are seeing a very significant increase in central nervous system anomalies," said Falluja general hospital's director and senior specialist, Dr Ayman Qais. "Before 2003 [the start of the war] I was seeing sporadic numbers of deformities in babies. Now the frequency of deformities has increased dramatically."*

*The rise in frequency is stark – from two admissions a fortnight a year ago to two a day now.*

(A: So two a day are coming in now and before it was two admissions for two weeks, now it's two a day)

*"Most are in the head*

(A: Tumours and so on)

*and spinal cord, but there are also many deficiencies in lower limbs," he said. "There is also a very marked increase in the number of cases of less than two years [old] with brain tumours. This is now a focus area of multiple tumours."*

*After several years of speculation and anecdotal evidence, a picture of a highly disturbing phenomenon in one of Iraq's most battered areas has now taken shape. Previously all miscarried babies, including those with birth defects or infants who were not given ongoing care, were not listed as abnormal cases.*

So there you go and I don't think they mention in the whole article about the depleted uranium. They go into other things too, you know, that could be the causes which are so far out it's incredible. But they know what's causing it and that's the lengths they'll go to. That's the lengths this New World Order will go to, to get their way. Anything goes, anything goes. But that is what war's about, isn't it? It's about winning.

Now we have these ideas about old movies where there's some kind of fairness about things but there never was fairness in war. It's about slaughtering your enemy so that you win and by any means possible. That's what it's about. And it's a pity that the public are kept in such naive nonsense by the kind of movies that they see. And eventually here, it goes on too to what's happening to the world now.

We know those at the top talked about the destruction of the family unit and all the rest of it, how to pacify males. They've been into looking for reasons why males will actually fight for things like freedom or survival and finding ways to neutralise it under the guise of looking for criminality in youngsters. That's really a cover, the criminality stuff. They want to find out why men are more liable to fight for things because you see, the only problem they've had, down through the centuries taking over this world, was that men would often stand up and fight if they had families and loved ones and children and community. That's why all of those things had to be destroyed under the Fabian or Communist system. Both the same thing; different roads to the same thing, one was to be global and take time. That was the Fabian technique and that's the one they decided to eventually really use. The Communist system is for fast accumulation of territory and standardisation of a system.

So here you go, Mail Online, I'm so sick of reading these articles about the hormones that males are getting because every article's like it's a brand new thing

they've just discovered.

This is November 16<sup>th</sup> .

*"Chemicals used in plastics feminise the brains of little boys 'so that they could avoid rough and tumble games'*

It says,

*"Chemicals used in plastics are 'feminising' the brains of baby boys, a disturbing survey shows. Those exposed to high doses in the womb are less likely to play with 'male' toys such as cars. They are also less willing to join 'rough and tumble' games."*

*The research adds to growing evidence that hormone-disrupting chemicals in thousands of household-products are interfering with the development of children."*

(A: It's also in the food with melamine, they even put it in baby food. You know who regulates the whole stuff to do with melamine for the EU parliament, where all the info comes and all the data comes from and the regulations come from? It comes from the melamine producer's association and then they dump it in the food. And even the FDA in the US allowed that, because, you know, when the dollar talks, morality walks and the lobbyists can convince them. I'm sure they used alot of handshaking and something passed in between it. But the FDA were convinced eventually that technically you could call melamine a protein if you just went along with their logic of thinking technically because it looked like one in a chain. And this oestrogenic hormone, synthetic hormone is put in baby food. That's not by accident folks, it's not by accident. It says here)

*"Environmental campaigners called the study 'extremely worrying' and called for a crackdown. The study looked at phthalates, chemicals that can mimic the female sex hormone oestrogen."*

*Some experts believe they are partly to blame for the increase in genital defects in boys and lower sperm counts in men over recent decades."*

(A: It's from the 1950's actually but it's also coupled with the inoculations too. I'm sure of it. It says)

*"But the new research is the first to link hormone-mimicking chemicals to behaviour."*

*There are fears of further effects as the young children in the study grow up."*

(A: Although the plastics industry insists phthalates are safe. It's just amazing when every study proves them wrong, but not really. They're obviously protected because it's part of an agenda. It says)

*"the EU has banned many of them from cosmetics, teething rings and children's toys."*

(A: Most cosmetics have it in them, and it says)

*"But pregnant women are still exposed to phthalates, which are used to soften plastics in household items such as plastic furniture, shoes, PVC flooring and shower curtains."*

It's also in all their cosmetics though and it goes through the skin into the bloodstream when she's pregnant.

Back with more after this break.

I'm Alan Watt. This is Cutting Through the Matrix, talking about the ongoing agenda to make sure that the men are feminised and it's been working awful well since the fifties onwards as you can probably see. It's talking about especially phthalates here but they also have the bispheynol A. There's all different kinds that work with this too that are artificial oestrogens, something they knew back in the late 1800's by the way and they knew too what the effects it would have on children back then but here they are finding it out all over again. They keep finding the same thing all over and do nothing about it because it's an agenda.

It says here,

*"The study published in the International,"*

(A: This is interesting too if you find the site.)

*"The new study published in the International Journal of Andrology"*

(A: Andrology: That's the study of basically male hormones and secondary sexual characteristics and so on)

*"was led by Dr. Shanna Swan professor of obstetrics and gynaecology at the University of Rochester in New York State.*

*Her team tested urine samples from mothers in the 28<sup>th</sup> week of pregnancy for traces of phthalates.*

*He women, who gave birth to 74 boys and 71 girls, were contacted again when their children were aged four to seven and asked about the toys the youngsters played with, the activities they liked and their personalities.*

*The researchers found that higher concentrations of two types of common phthalate - DEHP and DBP - were strongly linked with more feminine play in the boys but had no impact on the girls."*

(A: Well actually it does. Other studies have shown it has an impact on girls in the opposite direction. Too much oestrogen makes them go in a different direction)

*"The higher-phthalate boys were less likely than other boys to play with cars, trains and guns or engage in 'rough-and-tumble games such as playfighting.*

*They preferred 'gender-neutral' activities such as sports."*

(A: That last part doesn't make sense)

*"Professor Swan believes the chemicals reduce levels of the male sex hormone*

*testosterone in unborn babies.”*

(A: And it's true)

*“during a critical window of development between the 8<sup>th</sup> and 24<sup>th</sup> week of pregnancy.”*

(A: So the mother's ingesting the stuff and it's also coming through her skin with all the various cosmetics. It's in them all. It says)

*“This alters the development of the brain as well as male genitals.*

*She said last night “If replicated, these findings would be of serious concern because they indicate that these common chemicals can significantly alter the development of the male brain.”*

*A previous study by Dr. Swan found that boys whose mothers had the highest phthalate levels were more likely to have undescended testicles and smaller genitals than other baby boys.*

*In animal studies, males with similar genital changes had lower sperm counts.”*

(A: Well, it's found the same with humans too.)

*“Elizabeth Salter-Green, director of the chemicals campaign group CHEM Trust, said last night. ‘These results are extremely worrying.”*

(A: They say that all the time with every study that comes out over the years. It's always a worry)

*“We now know that phthalates, to which we are all constantly exposed, are extremely worrying from a health perspective, leading to disruption of male reproduction health, and it appears, male behaviour too’.”*

Oh what a surprise eh? What a surprise.

If you want to rule the world and you're destroying the family unit, you're destroying the male that's your main enemy down through the last few hundred years, you have to attack your enemy ruthlessly, don't you? Because you want to win, that's what war is all about and these are the methods they use. These are the methods that you use and I'd say it's been working awfully well to be honest with you because they've known about this, as I say, for a very long time.

That's how the world is really run. These are the covert wars that go on and anything goes to get their agenda through, anything at all, and I've got to admit it's always very effective, very, very effective.

Well that's it from Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada for tonight, so goodnight and may your God or your Gods go with you.

Topics of show covered in following links:

"Goldman Sachs boss says banks do 'God's work' " (reuters.com) - Nov. 8, 2009.

"Top candidate debates EU tax at elite dinner" [Bilderberg Members Discuss Taxes for Welfare State] by Andrew Rettman (euobserver.com) - Nov. 16, 2009.

"One World Government? Globe may not be big enough." by Dana Milbank (washingtonpost.com) - Nov. 11, 2009.

"Huge rise in birth defects in Falluja - Iraqi former battle zone sees abnormal clusters of infant tumours and deformities" by Martin Chulov (guardian.co.uk) - Nov. 13, 2009.

"Chemicals used in plastics feminise the brains of little boys 'so that they avoid rough and tumble games' " by David Derbyshire (dailymail.co.uk) - Nov. 16, 2009.